

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,457	11/05/2003	Shuichi Nawae	KAW 106	6390
	7590 08/01/2007	//01/2007	EXAMINER	
RABIN & Berd 1101 14TH ST			EL SHAMMAA, MARY A	
SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003	2883	2883	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			_IH		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/700,457	NAWAE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mary A. El-Shammaa	2883			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE OF TH	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b)  objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		- ,	).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  </li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>		eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau			-		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•	,				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 2883

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an optical module, classified in class 385, subclass 88.
- II. Claims 11-20, drawn to a method for manufacturing an optical module, classified in class 438, subclass 27.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2), the product made does not require the shifting and measuring of the process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, if Applicant elects Invention II, Applicant is further required to elect one of the following species.

Art Unit: 2883

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A, claims 11, 12, 15, 16, and 18-20, drawn to a method for manufacturing an optical module comprising fusing.

Species B, claims 13, 14, and 17, drawn to a method for manufacturing an optical module comprising shifting based on an amount that is preliminarily predicted.

The species are independent or distinct because two different combinations, not disclosed as capable of use together, having different modes of operation, different functions and different effects are independent. Where there is no disclosure of a relationship between species (see MPEP§806.04(b)), they are independent inventions.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 2883

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2883

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary A. El-Shammaa whose telephone number is 571.272.2469. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571.272.2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAE

March 25, 2007

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort

Page 5